



WORKS

you can't do that. Not only do people have to decide, but they also have to actually implement, that's what direct democracy means.

TFSR: Because there has to be, some sort of accountability and recallability. Right?

MK: Yeah. There has to be a direct recall. And no hell of a lot of money involved beyond the task, if you enlist somebody to do something, you paying for that and that's it. No lifetime appointment, no president-for-life shit. So I'm involved in that. But if the guy doesn't win, he'll at least raise the credit, but he got a good chance.

TFSR: That's better than a lot of us have right now. We just got to not stop at participatory.

MK: In terms of the state legislature and state governments, I don't vote in that kind of stuff. But people are really upstanding Trump, it is primarily a black community still in East Liberty County.

TFSR: Again, some meandering. Here Modibo shares some criticism on decision-making in vestiges of Leninism, and projects like Cooperation Jackson, and the Zapatistas.

MK: That's all that they were doing. They were trying to push the gathering over and think it was supposed to be an anarchist gathering in Detroit or somewhere, and they were pushing, the people in Jackson have been in the vanguard of what they call this concept they introduced as organizing dual power, which means that they were kind of stuck with the Leninism. And I really think that they need to examine that. I don't want to get associated with that. Because dual power, to me, is a statist concept in itself. When I started writing about the origin of dual power, and how it's a statist concept, and how we got to break with Leninism. And I couldn't explain that in an article and stuff. So I just want to hit on a road. That turned out to be about 12 or 14 pages. Andrew said, "Why don't you put that with your critique of direct democracy and republicanism?" So I put it there. And then it turned into a chapter and like the explanation of what representative democracy is and the difference between participatory democracy and all these sham democracies? So I never did. Because if I got associated with that, and somebody was gonna write a book and reference that book, and reference somebody else in that book, and then I'd be associated with people trying to organize the democratic institutions. The point I'm making is that getting organized is shit, people don't do that. All you have to do is shepherd the process. Frankly, I found it kind of vanguardist because

